

Date of Publication of Decision List: 5 June 2026

For Information Only

None of these decisions are subject to call-in



East Cambridgeshire
District Council

Planning Committee – 3 June 2026 – Decision List

Item No.	Report Ref.	Application	Decision	Action by
5.	AB6	24/00757/FUM Retention of building and erection of extensions and proposed external crane rails	It was resolved: To approve the application, subject to the conditions set out in Appendix 1 and the updated plans condition, as presented at the meeting.	Rachel Gordon, Planning Team Leader
6.	AB7	25/00312/FUM Change of use, extension, alteration and part-demolition to form offices, laboratories and staff facilities for research and development. New vehicular access with associated parking, hard and soft landscaping and SuDS features	It was resolved: To refuse the application, for the reasons set out in the report.	Gavin Taylor, Major Projects Officer
7.	AB8	25/01097/MPO For the modification of planning obligation 17/01445/OUM for outline planning application for erection of up to 53	It was resolved: To refuse the application and to determine that, in accordance with Section 106A, paragraph (6)(a) of The Town and Country Planning Act 1990, as amended, the planning obligation shall continue to have effect without modification, for the reasons set out at paragraph 7.33 of the report.	Sophie Browne, Planning Team Leader

Item No.	Report Ref.	Application	Decision	Action by
		houses to include public open space and details relating to access		
8	AB9	<p>25/00667/VARM</p> <p>To vary condition 1 (Approved Plans) of previous 22/00057/RMM approved at appeal APP/V0510/W/23/3328203</p>	<p>It was resolved:</p> <p>To refuse the application for the reasons laid out in the report.</p>	<p>Sophie Browne, Planning Team Leader</p>
9	AB10	<p>25/00966/VAR</p> <p>To vary condition 11 (width of access) of previously approved 18/01397/OUT, dated 5 April 2019</p>	<p>It was resolved:</p> <p>To approve the application for the following reason:</p> <p>The approved variation to the wording of condition 11 which seeks to amend the width of the access track is not considered to result in significant and demonstrable harm to highway safety. Furthermore, it is considered that it has been adequately justified that the widening of the access as previously agreed could not be achieved without risking the structural integrity of the Grade II Curtilage Listed Building at Hill Farm to the north west, and national planning policy requires that “when considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset, great weight should be given to the asset’s conservation” (NPPF, Paragraph 212).</p>	<p>Olivia Akroyd, Planning Officer</p>
10	AB11	<p>25/01223/RMM</p> <p>Reserved Matters application seeking approval of appearance, landscaping, layout and scale for 197 dwellings and 20 self-build unit plots</p>	<p>It was resolved:</p> <p>To approve the application subject to the recommended planning conditions set out in Appendix 2 (as amended in the update sheet), for the following reason:</p> <p>the proposals form the reserved matters details for the second phase of the development following outline consent ref.: 20/01238/FUM, aligning</p>	<p>Yole Medeiros, Major Projects Officer</p>

Item No.	Report Ref.	Application	Decision	Action by
		pursuant to hybrid planning permission.	with this consent, and in the absence of significant adverse impacts in terms of visual and residential amenity, highway safety and all other relevant material planning considerations.	
11	AB12	<p>26/00032/FUL</p> <p>Erection of stables and associated stores and wash bay. Construction of a fenced outdoor arena with secure gated entrances and associated works. Installation of a surface water drainage grid, associated infrastructure, and solar lighting. creation of new vehicular access with gate.</p>	<p>It was resolved:</p> <p>To approve the application subject to the recommended planning conditions set out in Appendix 1, for the following reason(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The principle of constructing stables, an outdoor arena and associated works in this location is considered to be acceptable by virtue of compliance with Policy EMP 5. b. The proposals is not considered to result in a detrimental impact to the character and appearance of the Conservation Area or wider rural character of Upend. c. The proposal is not considered to create detrimental impacts to residential amenity. d. The proposal is considered to satisfy all other material planning considerations. 	Charlotte Sage, Planning Officer
12	AB13	<p>EXT/00008/26</p> <p>Planning Inspectorate - Kingsway Solar Farm Development Consent Order -Adequacy of Consultation.</p>	<p>It was resolved to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Approve ECDC’s draft response (Appendix 1), stating that the Applicants to the Development Consent Order, Kingsway Solar Farm Limited, have complied with Sections 42 and 48, however have not complied with Section 47 of the Planning Act 2008, for the reasons set out in the proforma; b. Delegate authority to the Strategic Planning and Development Management Manager to carry out minor amendments to the response post-committee decision if required; and c. Delegate authority to the Strategic Planning and Development Management Manager to submit the response on behalf of ECDC. 	Yole Medeiros, Major Projects Officer